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Introduction

- Water – shifting from an operations issue to a strategic issue
  - Offshore – expensive and logistically difficult
  - Onshore – limited resources in remote areas, challenging logistics

- Water treatment
  - Non-core capability for oil producers
  - “Weak link” in oil production

- Need innovative water treatment technologies to address growing demands

- Goals: minimize operating costs, maximize footprint and energy efficiency, maintain production and/or increase oil recovery rates
Indicative Reservoir Recovery

- **PRIMARY**
  - Range 10 – 30%

- **WATERFLOOD**
  - Incremental 5 – 15%

- **WATER-BASED EOR**
  - Incremental 5 – 30%
    - Low Salinity: 5-15%
    - Polymer Flooding: 5-20%
    - ASP Flooding: 15-30%
Oil Field Life with EOR Implementation

(Note: This graph is representative. Many technical, commercial and contractual variables are reservoir dependent.)
Water-Based IOR and EOR Methods
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Sulphate Removal Processes

• Risks
  • Process: Reservoir scaling
  • Economic: Oil quality degrades
  • Safety: H₂S production

• SRP
  • Removes sulphate to prevent process, economic, and safety risks
  • Uses specialized Nanofiltration (NF) membranes to reduce sulphate content in seawater while maintaining high salinity
  • Over 70 systems worldwide, > 7.5M bbl/day capacity (Reyntjens 2013)

• Challenges
  • Relatively high CAPEX
  • Substantial space and weight requirements
  • Platform retrofits often prohibitively expensive

Source: H2Oil & Gas 2012
Low Salinity Waterflooding

- Proposed Mechanisms
  - Multi-Component Ion Exchange (MIE)
  - Fines Migration
  - pH Variation
  - Double layer expansion

- EOR Potential
  - Global water-based EOR potential - ~750 billion barrels
  - North Sea: 6 billion barrels

- Technology
  - EOR – a 1% increase in recovery could yield 2 billion barrels of oil equivalent (Upstream Technology 2013)
  - LSF – strong candidate for implementation due to substantial recovery potential and “relative simplicity” when viewed as an extension of seawater injection processes (DECC 2011)
Low Salinity Waterflooding

1. Production Well and Injection Well
2. Oil Droplets, Water, and Clay in Sand
3. High Salinity Water, Electrical Double Layer, Positive Divalent Magnesium Ion, Negatively-charged Clay
4. Low Salinity Water, Monovalent Sodium Ion Penetrates, Electrical Double Layer Expands
5. Monovalent Ion Displaces Divalent Ion
6. Oil Droplet Swept out of Reservoir

IDA
Proceeds to Benefit Water-related Humanitarian Projects
Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery

• Typical CEOR Program Chemistry
  • Alkali
  • Surfactant
  • Polymer

• Water Quality Considerations
  • Source water must be softened to prevent hardness from precipitating in the presence of alkali and damaging wells and reservoirs
  • Reducing salinity prior to adding alkali, surfactant and polymer can amplify positive impacts of each individual program
  • Customizing ionic compositions enable optimal polymer viscosities to be achieved easier and more economically
Effect of Salinity on Polymer Requirements

![Graph showing the effect of salinity on polymer requirements. The x-axis represents injection water salinity (ppm), and the y-axis represents normalized polymer concentration (dimensionless). Two lines are depicted: one with a 10 cP viscosity at 10 sec^-1 and 25°C, and another with a 6 cP viscosity at 2 sec^-1 and 46°C.](graph.png)
Effect of Salinity on Polymer Cost


Proceeds to Benefit Water-related Humanitarian Projects
Optimal Salinity for Surfactant Floods

Reprinted with permission from Dr. George Hirasaki, Rice University
Case Study

• Goal: Demonstrate the effect of salinity on EOR program costs and revenues

• Cases
  1. SRP for IOR waterflooding programs, paired with either surfactant or polymer to increase recovery
  2. LSF for water injection only, and enhanced with the addition of chemicals
  3. Water Softening using NF treatment for water injection only, and enhanced with chemical addition
# Assumptions

## Required Chemical Concentration by Water Treatment Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Resulting Salinity (TDS)</th>
<th>Alkali Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Surfactant Concentration (mg/L)</th>
<th>Polymer Concentration (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Salinity</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening (Nanofiltration)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Indicative Incremental Oil Recovery by Treatment Process (Not Cumulative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water Injection Only (no ASP)</th>
<th>Polymer (P)</th>
<th>Alkali-Surfactant (AS)</th>
<th>Alkali-Polymer (AP)</th>
<th>Surfactant-Polymer (SP)</th>
<th>Alkali-Surfactant-Polymer (ASP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SRP</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Salinity</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softening (Nano-Filtration)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assumptions

• CAPEX
  • Included: water treatment equipment (e.g., pre-treatment, membranes, energy-recovery devices), chemical injection system
  • Neglected: intake, discharge, electrical systems, piping, engineering, and integration
  • Assume: seawater feed, produced water does not require further treatment

• OPEX
  • Included: fuel, water treatment membrane replacement, chemicals
  • Neglected: labor, maintenance, equipment replacement

• Revenue
  • Based on projected increases in oil recovery
  • 100,000 bbl/day water injection program
  • 10 years
  • $40/bbl for additional oil after the deduction of royalties and taxes
Case Study – Relative ROI

[Bar chart showing relative ROI for different water treatment methods, including Water Injection Only, Polymer (P), Alkali-Surfactant (AS), Alkali-Polymer (AP), Surfactant-Polymer (SP), and Alkali-Surfactant-Polymer (ASP).]
Conclusions

• Water poses significant challenges for the offshore oil industry

• IOR and EOR programs may reduce process, safety, and economic risks

• Specialized membrane technologies can help IOR and EOR project benefits to be fully realized

• Investment in water treatment systems can increase oil production with revenues proving the return on the initial capital investment